

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/768,761	01/29/2004	Clark Bendall	702-102	7060
20874	7590 11/08/2006		EXAMINER	
WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI 101 SOUTH SALINA STREET			SMITH, PHILIP ROBERT	
SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SYRACUSE, NY 13202			3739	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

: .	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/768,761	BENDALL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
*	Philip R. Smith	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) darill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Se						
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C:D: 11, 4	100 U.G. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9,15,16 and 35-40</u>		ition.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-14 and 17-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	, (b)	*				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<b>r.</b>					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ul>						
The state of the s						
3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	-	red				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the octained copies not receive					
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/14/04, 11/17/04</u> .	0, 23 Other. <u>150 3/3/20</u>	<u> </u>				

Application/Control Number: 10/768,761 Page 2

Art Unit: 3739

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Restrictions

[01] Claims 1-9, 15-16, 35-40 are withdrawn without traverse from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group, as per the correspondence of 9/11/2006.

## **Specification**

[02] The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

### **Claim Objections**

[03] Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities: "base unit" should-read "base unit element." Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

[04] The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- [05] Claims 10, 12-14, 17, 19-21, 23-26, 28, 30, 33 & 34 are rejected under 35

  U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Murata (2001/0051762) in view of Chikama (5,002,041).
- [06] With regard to claims 10,21: Murata discloses a modular visual inspection system

Art Unit: 3739

for viewing the interior of a structure, comprising:

- [06a] a base unit element comprising a memory element ("memory card 113,"

  [0103], a processor element ("image processing circuit 111," [0103]), and a

  modular light source ("lamp 64," [0058]);
- [06b] a control and display element comprising a screen element for viewing the interior of the structure ("display device 10," [0045]) and an articulation control element ("motor-driven angling unit 17," [0046]);
- [06c] an insertion element for imaging the interior of the structure comprising an imaging sensor ("charge-coupled device (CCD) 41," [0053]) and an elongated portion ("elongated insertion member 2 that is flexible," [0045]);
- [06d] wherein the base unit element is in electro-optical communication with the control and display element.
- [07] Murata does not disclose that there are a plurality of insertion elements, wherein each one of said plurality of insertion elements can be used without modification with said control and display element.
- [08] It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide multiple insertion elements, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. A skilled artisan would be motivated to do so in order to provide a backup insertion element in the case of contamination or malfunction.

Art Unit: 3739

- [09] Murata does not disclose that the elongated portion is braided.
- [10] Chikama discloses the following in 1/52-59:

A conventional insertion portion (flexible tube structure) for an endoscope ... comprises a holder coil formed by winding a strip-like plate, a braid tube formed around the outer periphery of the holder coil, and an outer sheath of a resin covering the braid tube.

- [11] At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use convention elements in the construction of Murata's elongated portion. Braids are conventionally used to construct elongated insertion portions in endoscopes because they are strong and flexible.
- [12] With regard to claims 13,14,17: Murata discloses an aperture ("card connector 112," [0103]) to allow insertion of an electronic storage media comprising a PC cards ("113," as noted above).
- [13] With regard to claim 12: Murata discloses a keyboard ("152," [0130], Fig 12).
- [14] With regard to claims 19,20: Murata discloses that the base unit further comprises at least one connectivity element, wherein the at least one connectivity element is a serial port ("serial communication," [0131]).
- [15] With regard to claim 23: Murata discloses a storage reel ("cylindrical drum 4" [0045]) for storing said insertion element.
- [16] With regard to claim 24: Murata discloses a weatherproof container element ("a box-like main unit 5," [0045]) sized such that the base unit element fits within the container element.
- [17] With regard to claim 26: Murata discloses an LCD ("LCD panel of the display

Art Unit: 3739

device 10," [0056]) which is inherently capable of showing images in a 16:9 format.

- [18] With regard to claim 28: Murata discloses a joystick ("remote control unit 8," [0047]).
- [19] With regard to claim 30: Murata discloses at least one servo motor ("motor-driven angling unit 17," [0046]).
- [20] With regard to claim 33: The memory element disclosed by Murata is capable of storing data representing images ([0103]).
- [21] With regard to claim 34: Murata discloses that the memory element of the base unit element includes a computer program for generating reports ("reading or writing..." [0103]) based on data obtained by the imaging sensor of each of said plurality of insertion elements.

## Additional Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- [22] Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata in view of Pearlman (5,347,992).
- [23] Murata does not disclose a fluid reservoir.
- [24] Pearlman discloses the following in 1/11-23:

During endoscopic procedures, the surgeon must frequently irrigate and then suction a region in which he is operating. He is customarily provided with a handpiece that includes two trumpet-type valves, one for the liquid and the other for suction. His task in addition to manipulation of the various optical and surgical appliances associated with an endoscope is to irrigate regions of interest, and to suction out liquids and debris. Anything which can simplify this assortment of tasks is a welcome improvement. Convenience of grasp is a further convenience. If an appliance can only be gripped in one orientation, it is likely that in other alignments it will be inconvenient to manipulate.

[25] At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary

Art Unit: 3739

skill in the art that the endoscope disclosed by Murata have an irrigation channel which necessitates a fluid reservoir, as disclosed by Pearlman. A skilled artisan would be motivated to do so because endoscopic procedures conventionally require irrigation of regions of interest; and irrigation requires a fluid reservoir from which to draw irrigation fluid.

## Additional Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- [26] Claims 18, 22, 29, 31, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata in view of Saito (6,184,922).
- [27] With regard to claim 18: Murata does not disclose that the processor element of the base unit element is capable of video compression.
  - [27a] Saito discloses a "motion-picture data compressing means" (4/42) which compresses endoscope images prior to storage. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that the memory element disclosed by Murata store compressed images as taught by Saito. A skilled artisan would be motivated to do so in order to reduce the required size of the memory element, or to allow a greater amount of data to be stored on a memory element of finite size.
- [28] With regard to claim 22: Murata does not disclose that the modular light source is selected from the group of light sources consisting of: LEDs, arc discharge lamps, lasers, UV lamps, and IR lamps.
  - [28a] Saito discloses an arc discharge lamp ("white light source 121 such as a

Art Unit: 3739

xenon lamp" (14/49). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that in reduction to practice the lamp disclosed by Murata take the particular from of an arc discharge lamp. A skilled artisan would be motivated to do so in order to provide strong white light, as xenon lamps are well known to provide.

- [29] With regard to claim 29: Murata does not disclose a switch to freeze an image displayed by said control and display element.
  - [29a] Saito discloses a "release switch 48" (5/54-60) which freezes a displayed image in the form of a "still image to be recorded." At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a freeze switch in the control and display element disclosed by Murata. A skilled artisan would be motivated to do so in order to allow a still image to be recorded to be viewed at a later date.
- [30] With regard to claims 31,32: Murata does not disclose that the image sensor gathers sufficient data to create a selected video signal selected from the group of video signals consisting of: PAL, NTSC, and progressive scan.
  - [30a] Saito discloses a "light source unit 103" which "agree[s] with the frame frequency of a video signal (29.97 Hz in the NTSC system). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that the displayed video signal disclosed by Murata take the particular form of an NTSC signal. A skilled artisan would be motivated to use

conventional elements. In reduction to practice, NTSC is a conventional video signal.

## Additional Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- [31] Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata.
- [32] Murata discloses a control and display element, but does not disclose an anti-glare element.
- [33] At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that the control and display element disclose by Murata comprise an anti-glare element. A skilled artisan would be motivated to do so in order to enable better viewing of images.

### Conclusion

- [34] The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Motoki (6,569,086; 2002/0026096) discloses an endoscope have joystick control. Hibino (5,243,967) discloses an endoscope having a fluid reservoir and a freeze switch.
- [35] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip R. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 272 6087 and whose email address is philip.smith@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached between 9:00am and 5:00pm.
- [36] If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272 4764.

Art Unit: 3739

[37] Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Afr

John P. Leubecker Primary Examiner